


### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>/~</u>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	ľ
Office Action Summary		10/006,661	NAKANISHI, RYUJI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	-
		Truc T. T. Nguyen	2833	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHI THE I Exter after If the Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>December 2001</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
•	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
• ——	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
• •	ion Papers	ar		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable as a specific at the contraction of the contra		raminer	
10)[	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
<del>-</del>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
ĺ	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No	
*	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application)	•
15) <u></u>	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been retic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	eceived. 20 and/or 121.	
Attachme				
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
L	Trademati Office			

Art Unit: 2833

t,

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 1.
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 2. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claim 10 recites the limitation "the outer side" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent 3. basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 4. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kraus et al (US 5. 6,280,253 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Kraus et al disclose a fuse module comprising:

Art Unit: 2833

a plurality of fuse (93) each having a fuse element (not shown), and terminal sections (94); and

an insulating fuse casing (97) formed with external terminal insertion holes (unnumbered, where the busbars 12 is insert therethrough.

Regarding claim 2, Kraus et al disclose one and other of the terminal sections of each fuse function as an input and output terminal, and the respective output terminals are to be electrically connectable with a terminal of busbars (12), and the respective input terminals are to be electrically connectable with a terminal of busbars (14).

Regarding claim 3, Kraus et al disclose the fuse are plate-shaped and are vertically mounted in the fuse mount holes (92).

Regarding claim 4, Kraus et al disclose the fuse mount hole is formed such that a pair of terminal mounting portion in which the two terminal sections of the corresponding fuse are mounted and a fusing element mounting portion in which the fusing element of the corresponding fuse in mounted are horizontally aligned, and the width of the two terminal mounting portion is a least substantially equal to the thickness of the fuse.

Regarding claim 5, Kraus et al disclose the spacing between the two terminal sections of each fuse is constant among the respective fuses.

Regarding claim 6, Kraus et al disclose the width of the fusing element mounting portion is larger than the thickness of the fuses.

Regarding claim 7, Kraus et al disclose the input terminal are arrayed in the same row and an electrically shorting member (100.

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claim 8, Kraus et al disclose the shorting member includes a plurality of comb-shaped press contact blades (see Figure 4).

Regarding claim 9, Kraus et al disclose the comb-shaped press contact blades are provided at the same intervals.

Regarding claim 10, Kraus et al disclose the input terminals are arranged to face the outer side of the fuse casing, and the shorting member is inserted into the fuse casing form outside.

Regarding claims 11-19, the claimed limitations are the same as claims 1-10. Therefore, claims 11-19 are rejected for the same reason as claims 1-10.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraus et al (US6280253 B1) in view of Davis et al (US 6,227,913 B1).

Kraus et al substantially disclose the claimed invention except for a cover.

Davis et al teach a cover (10) for preventing dust come inside the module (1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a cover into Kraus et al's fuse module, as taught by Davis et al for preventing the foreign debris from affecting electrical connection.

Art Unit: 2833

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T. T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 703-308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

T. Nguyen July 24, 2002

> THO D. TA PRIMARY EXAMINER